

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE .	. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/018,924	12/19/2001	Yanagita Toshihiko	YAM 2 0013	8207
7590 03/18/2004			EXAMINER	
Richard M Klein			SNEDDEN, SHERIDAN	
Fay Sharpe Fag	an Minnich & Mckee			
Seventh Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1100 Superior Avenue			1653	
Cleveland, OH 44114			DATE MAILED: 03/18/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
<del>1</del> 2	10/018,924	TOSHIHIKO, YANAGITA
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sheridan K Snedden	1653
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	l. 1.36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day do will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed  ays will be considered timely.  m the mailing date of this communication.  IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05</u> .	February 2003.	
<del>,</del>	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under		
Disposition of Claims		
4)  Claim(s) 13 and 15-34 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) none is/are withdray 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 13 and 15-34 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers	wn from consideration.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	nor	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to th		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applica iority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ntion No ved in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summai	
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail I  5) Notice of Informal  6) Other:	Date Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 1653

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

1. This Office Action is in response to Paper filed 5 Feb 2004. Claims 1-12 and 14 have been canceled. Claims 13 and 15-34 are under examination.

# Withdrawal of Objections and Rejections

2. Applicant's arguments have been considered and the Final Rejection mailed 1 Oct 2003 are withdrawn in favor of the following. All objections and/or rejections not explicitly restated or stated below are withdrawn.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 13, 15-19, and 25-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Adamou *et al.* (US Pub 2002/0164707 A1). Adamou *et al.* teach a adrenomedullin as a CRGP analog and agonist for CGRP receptors, which are employed for therapeutic purposes, such as the treatment of Parkinson's disease, acute heart failure, hypotension, urinary retention, and

Art Unit: 1653

osteoporosis (see section [0028], [0089] and figure 9). Thus, the reference anticipates the claimed method.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 13 and 15-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Adamou *et al.* (US Pub 2002/0164707 A1) in view of Kitamura *et al.* (US Patent 5,910,416).

Adamou *et al.* teach a adrenomedullin as a CRGP analog and agonist for CGRP receptors, which are employed for therapeutic purposes, such as the treatment of Parkinson's disease, acute heart failure, hypotension, <u>urinary retention</u>, and osteoporosis (see section [0028], [0089] and figure 9). Adamou *et al.* do not teach the modification to adrenomedullin as recited in claims 20-24 and 30-34.

Kitamura *et al.* adrenomedullin as a novel hypotensive peptide. Kitamura *et al.* teach the intravenous administration of a composition comprising adrenomedullin (see figure 3). The composition of Kitamura *et al.* comprises the peptide having the amino acid sequence from Ser13 to Tyr52, Tyr1 to Tyr52, Ala(-73) to Tyr52, and Met(-94) to Leu91 of SEQ ID NO: 2. In one embodiment of the above peptide, the carboxyl terminus of the peptide is <u>amidated</u> (see column 1, lines 66 and 67). In one embodiment of the above peptide, <u>Gly</u> is attached to the carboxyl terminus of the N-terminal peptide of proadrenomedullin (see column 2, lines 1 and 2).

Art Unit: 1653

In one embodiment of the above peptide, Cys in the 16 position and Cys in the 21 position of SEQ ID No. 1 are linked by a <u>disulfide</u> bond, which may be substitutes with a –CH2—CH2—bond (see column 2, lines 8-13). Kitamura *et al.* teach that adrenomedullin, and the modified versions above, have a hypotensive effect and a vasodilating effect, and therefore, they are useful in the treatment of a disease. Kitamura *et al.* provide the examples of hypertension and cardiac incompetence but fail to specifically provide an example of a urinary disorder.

Taken together, it would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the modified adrenomedullin as taught by Kitamura *et al.* for the purposes of treating urinary retention as taught by Adamou *et al.* A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make the above substitution because Kitamura *et al.* suggest that the modified adrenomedullin may be used as treatment in diseases alleviated by their hypotensive effect and a vasodilating effect. The person of ordinary skill in the art would have expected success because Adamou *et al.* demonstrated that adrenomedullin could be used in a method of treating urinary retention.

#### Conclusion

#### 5. No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sheridan K Snedden whose telephone number is (571) 272-0959. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Low can be reached on (571) 272-0951. The fax phone number for

Art Unit: 1653

Page 5

regular communications to the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

SKS March 15, 2004

> KAREN COCHRANE CARLSON, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER